1	THE URBAN LAW FIRM MICHAEL A. URBAN, Nevada State Bar No. 3875		
2	NATHAN R. RING, Nevada State Bar No. 12078 4270 S. Decatur Blvd., Suite A-9		
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 Telephone: (702) 968-8087		
4	Facsimile: (702) 968-8088 Electronic Mail: murban@theurbanlawfirm.com		
5	nring@theurbanlawfirm.com Counsel for Plaintiffs Laborers Joint Trust Fun	ads	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8	THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE		
9	CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS HEALTH AND WELFARE	CASE NO:	
10	TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND		
11	LABORERS JOINT PENSION TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE	COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF	
12	CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS VACATION TRUST; THE	CONTRACT AND BREACH OF ERISA	
13	BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF SOUTHERN	[20 H.C.C. 8 195(.) 1 8 1122(.)]	
14	NEVADA LABORERS LOCAL 872 TRAINING TRUST,	[29 U.S.C. § 185(a) and § 1132(e)]	
15	Plaintiffs,		
16	VS.		
17	WESTERN EXPLOSIVES SYSTEMS COMPANY, a Delaware corporation,		
18	Defendant.		
19	Plaintiffs jointly and severally complain	and allege as follows:	
20	Plaintiffs, jointly and severally, complain and allege as follows: JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
21			
22	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to Section 502(e)(1) of the		
23	Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §		
24	1132(e)(1), which grants the United States Distr	ict Courts exclusive jurisdiction over civil actions	
25	brought by a fiduciary pursuant to Section 502(a)(3) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), to redress		
26	violations or enforce the terms of ERISA or an	employee benefit plan governed by ERISA. Such	
27	jurisdiction exists without respect to the amount in controversy or the citizenship of the parties, as		
_,	provided in Section 502(f) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C.	§ 1132(f).	

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of this case pursuant to Section 301(a) of the Labor Management Relations Act of 1947, as amended ("LMRA"), 29 U.S.C. §185(a), which grants the United States District Courts original jurisdiction over suits for violation of contracts between an employer and a labor organization in an industry affecting commerce, without respect to the amount in controversy and the citizenship of the parties.
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Section 502(e)(2) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2), and Section 301(a) of the LMRA, 29 U.S.C. §185(a), in that this is the district in which the Trusts (defined below) are administered, the signatory union maintains its offices, and where the relevant acts took place.
- 4. To the extent this Complaint sets forth any claims based upon state law, this Court holds supplemental jurisdiction over those claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiffs are THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS JOINT PENSION TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS VACATION TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF SOUTHERN NEVADA LABORERS LOCAL 872 TRAINING TRUST (collectively hereinafter "Trusts" or "Plaintiffs").
- 6. Plaintiffs as trustees of the Trusts are "fiduciar[ies]" with respect to the Trusts as defined in § 3(21)(A) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A) (2011).
- 7. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendant WESTERN EXPLOSIVES SYSTEMS COMPANY, (hereinafter "WESCO"), has been a corporation organized and existing by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware and authorized to conduct business in the State of Nevada.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

8. At all times material to this Complaint, there was in effect collective bargaining agreements ("CBA") between the Laborers International Union of North America, Local 872

("Local 872") and WESCO. The CBAs incorporate by reference the Master Labor Agreement between Local 872 and Contractors Associations and the Trust Agreements establishing the Plaintiff Trust Funds for WESCO's employees' work on certain projects. The CBAs name the Trust Funds as third-party beneficiaries of those agreements.

- 9. WESCO is an employer whose employees perform work covered under the CBA. Pursuant to the CBAs, WESCO agreed to abide by the Trust Agreements, which establish and govern the operation of the Plaintiff Trust Funds.
- 10. WESCO is an "employer," as that term is defined in the CBAs and related Trust Agreements.
- 11. WESCO is an "employer" as defined and used in Section 3(5) of ERISA, as codified at 29 U.S.C. § 1002(5), and therefore, WESCO is "obligated to make contributions to a multi-employer plan" within the meaning of Section 515 of ERISA, as codified at 29 U.S.C. § 1145. Plaintiffs allege that WESCO is an "employer" engaged in "commerce" in an "industry affecting commerce," as those terms are defined and used in Section 501(1) and Section 501(3) of the LMRA, as codified at 29 U.S.C. § 142(1) and § 142(3), and within the meaning and use of Section 301(a) of the LMRA, 29 U.S.C. § 185(a).

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Breach of Written Collective Bargaining Agreements and Related Trust Agreements – WESCO

- 12. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 13. At all times material to this Complaint, there was in effect CBAs between Local 872 and WESCO. The CBAs incorporate by reference the Trust Agreements establishing the Trusts.
- 14. WESCO is an employer whose employees performed work were covered under the CBAs between WESCO and Local 872. Pursuant to the CBAs, WESCO agreed to abide by the Trust Agreements, which establish and govern the operation of the Trusts.
- 15. By the terms and provisions of the CBAs, and related Trust Agreements, and at all times material herein, Defendant WESCO was obligated to the following:

- 15.1 Defendant WESCO was obligated to prepare and submit true, complete and accurate written monthly contribution reports to the Trusts on a timely basis showing i) the identities of employees performing work covered by the CBAs, ii) the number of hours worked by or paid to these employees, iii) the rates of pay, iv) character of hours worked (e.g., straight time, over-time, etc.), and v) based upon the hours worked or amounts paid to employees, the proper calculation of the fringe benefit contributions, benefits and/or withholdings attributable to its employees. These monthly contribution reports are due on the 10th day of each successive month;
- 15.2 Defendant WESCO was required to maintain adequate records of work performed by and amounts paid to its employees. WESCO was obligated to permit the Trusts and their agents to conduct audits of WESCO's payroll and related records in order to determine whether or not fringe benefit contributions were properly paid pursuant to the CBAs and Trust Agreements;
- 15.3 Defendant WESCO was obligated to properly pay fringe benefit contributions to the Trusts. Benefits and/or other withholdings were to be made on a monthly basis, and at specified rates for each hour worked by or paid to applicable employees; these amounts are due and payable at the Trusts' administrative offices.
- 16. WESCO is known to be bound to CBAs for work at Apex and under the Southern Nevada Water Authority PLA.
- 17. On or about December 7, 2018, Plaintiffs, through their counsel, demanded WESCO provide payroll and related records of WESCO beginning from the time period of January 1, 2015 through the present, in order to allow Plaintiffs' auditors to complete an audit of WESCO.
- 18. Plaintiff's counsel and WESCO's counsel met on December 16, 2018 to discuss WESCO's compliance with the payroll audit.
- 19. On or about January 15, 2019, Plaintiffs, through their counsel, sent a follow up demand to WESCO and its counsel to demand production of the payroll and related records of WESCO beginning from the time period of January 1, 2015 through the present.

3

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

- 20. Since the first attorney demand letter December 2018, WESCO has failed and refused to provide any of the requested payroll records.
- 21. On February 19, 2019, WESCO contacted the Trusts' auditors to state records would be provided but to date no records have been provided by WESCO.
- 22. Due to WESCO's refusal to permit an audit, the exact amount of contributions and/or contract damages, if any, due and owing have not been ascertained at this time. These amounts, if any exist, will be established by proof at trial herein or through dispositive motion following completion of an audit. WESCO's refusal to produce all requested documents is a breach of the CBAs and Trust Agreements.
- 23. Pursuant to the terms of the CBAs and Trust Agreements, WESCO also promised that, in the event of any delinquency, it would pay any legal and auditing costs in connection with such delinquency, whether incurred before or after litigation commenced.
- 24. It has been necessary for the Trusts to engage The Urban Law Firm for the purpose of obtaining the payroll and related records of WESCO to complete the audit, collect any and all amounts due, and to otherwise enforce the terms of the CBAs and Trust Agreements.
- 25. Pursuant to the CBAs, Trust Agreements, and 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2), the Trusts are entitled to an award of their reasonable attorney's fees incurred to enforce the same, even if no delinquency is discovered by the audit.
- Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2), WESCO owes to the Trusts interest, at the 26. contractual rate, on any and all unpaid contributions and liquidated damages from the dates the sums were originally due to the Trusts through the date of judgment.

WHEREFORE, the Trusts pray for judgment against WESCO, as follows:

- 1. For an order compelling WESCO to submit to and cooperate with the audit of their books, documents, and other things by the Trusts duly appointed representative;
 - 2. For unpaid fringe benefit contributions if any, in amounts as proven;
 - 3. For damages for breach of contract in amounts if any, as proven;
 - 4. For liquidated damages in amounts if any, as proven;

1	5.	For audit expenses if any, in amounts as proven;	
2	6.	For interest at the agreed upon contractual rate on all fringe benefit contribution	
3	and/or dan	amages if any, until paid in full;	
4	7.	For the Trusts' reasonable attorney's fees;	
5	8.	For the Trusts' costs of suit incurred herein; and	
6	9.	For such additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.	
7			
8	Dated: Ma	arch 29, 2019	THE URBAN LAW FIRM
9			/s/ Nathan R. Ring MICHAEL A. URBAN, Nevada Bar No. 3875
10			NATHAN R. RING, Nevada State Bar No. 12078 4270 S. Decatur Blvd., Suite A-9
11			Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 Telephone: (702) 968-8087
12			Facsimile: (702) 968-8088 Electronic Mail: murban@theurbanlawfirm.com
13			nring@theurbanlawfirm.com Counsel for Plaintiffs Laborers Joint Trust Fund
14			Counselfor I tunings Eurorers would I rust I und
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	I		